

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM M No.19865 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.07.2018.

Satpal Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Ms. Jaideep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Jasinder Singh Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Naryal, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI J. (ORAL)

By invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.26 dated 04.02.2009 for offence punishable under Sections 324, 452, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station B-Division, Amritsar City (Annexure P-1) and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Baljinder Kaur. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved by way of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 10.05.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Amritsar, wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded that the compromise is genuine and valid one which has ex-facie been entered into between the parties with their free volition, without any inducement or threat.

Counsel for the State has not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner has arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in 'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543 and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed. FIR No.26 dated 04.02.2009 for offence punishable under Sections 324, 452, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station B-Division, Amritsar City (Annexure P-1) and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

06.07.2018
rekha sharma

whether speaking/reasoned
whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No