

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.19781 of 2018 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 30.05.2018**

Kuldeep Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI**

Present: Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sumati Jind, Advocate  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

**RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)**

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.125 dated 23.05.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 02.04.2018 (Anneuxre P-2).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Ankush Kumar @ Ankush Singla son of Shubhash Chander. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 10.05.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barnala wherein it has been reported that statements of

the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Counsel for the State as well as counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner, respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.125 dated 23.05.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 406 and 420 IPC, registered at Police Station City Barnala, District Barnala and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

**May 30, 2018**  
*m. sharma*

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | yes/no |
| Whether reportable        | : | yes/no |