

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-19776 of 2018  
DECIDED ON : 10.05.2018**

**Kulwant Rai**

**...Petitioner**

**versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present : Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the trial Court to expedite the trial in FIR No.10 dated 16.03.2010 under Sections 420/120-B IPC registered at Police Station Phul, District Bathinda and to decide the main case in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although FIR was registered in the year 2010 but the trial has not been completed till date.

Heard.

A perusal of the petition shows that earlier the accused had approached this court by preferring CRM No. M-24647 of 2010 for quashing the FIR. The petition was dismissed vide order dated 09.02.2016 after issuing notice of motion to the respondents. Thereafter, the petitioner had filed an application under Section 319

Cr.P.C to summon respondent No.4 as an additional accused. The application was dismissed vide order dated 12.09.2017 which was challenged by the petitioner by preferring revision petition before the Sessions Judge, wherein the matter was remanded back to the trial Court vide order 05.03.2018 with a direction to decide the application afresh.

In view of the above, I do not find that there is any undue delay on the part of trial Court in completing the investigation. Therefore, direction to the trial Court to expedite the trial and decide the same in a time bound manner is unwarranted, at this stage.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed, at this stage.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**MAY 10, 2018**  
shalini

- |    |                             |        |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable :        | Yes/No |