

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19770-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:03.12.2018**

Anita

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: Mr. R.S. Tacoria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisth, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhavpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Harish Mehta, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks cancellation of bail granted under Section 439(2) Cr.P.C. to respondents No.2 & 3 namely Monika (aged 26 years) and Manju (Aged 24 years), vide order dated 05.05.2018, passed by learned Sessions Judge, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that respondents No.2 & 3 Monika and Manju respectively, are the main conspirators. They hired a hit man to cause injuries. In this case, the fire arm was used.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has not denied that now challan has been presented. Allegations against respondents No.2 & 3 are of conspiracy of beating the petitioner.

I am of the view that in this case, investigation has already been completed and challan has been presented. Nothing has been proved that after the grant of regular bail, the private respondents have misused the same. Moreover, they are women, for which, there is a special provision to deal with them. In the absence of any allegation that bail was misused, there is no ground to cancel the bail.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

03.12.2018
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No