

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRM-M-19692-2018
DATE OF DECISION: 09.05.2018**

SATNAM AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Amit Kohar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend threat from respondents No.4 to 7.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioners to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Ashish Yadav, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of “**Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another**”, **JT 2006(6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

09.05.2018

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No