

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-19522-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 01.06.2018

Rajiv Kumar Chug @ Rajiv Kumar Chugh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for
the complainant.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.13 dated 22.2.2013 under sections 406, 420 I.P.C, registered at Police Station, Nurmahal, District Jalandhar City.

The first petition i.e. CRM-M-15035-2018 was dismissed by this Court on 3.5.2018 taking cognizance of the fact that the petitioner had not appeared before the Trial Court on as many as 17 occasions. That apart, even though, the petitioner at that point of time had projected the case that he was willing to settle the dispute with the complainant but the same was not done.

Instant petition was pressed by stating the same to be a mercy petition and by submitting that the requisite amount would be made over to the complainant.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 25.5.2018 undertaking of Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal learned counsel representing the petitioner was

recorded to the effect that a demand draft of Rs.20 lacs drawn in the name of the complainant would be furnished on the adjourned date i.e. today.

Counsel expresses his inability as regards furnishing of the demand draft as per undertaking recorded on 25.5.2018.

This Court is satisfied that the petitioner is making an attempt to buy time. His conduct disentitles him from the concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition, accordingly, is dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.06.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No