

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19396-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.05.2018

Sonu @ Doctor

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ankit Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ripu Daman, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Chand Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.134 dated 25.05.2016, registered under Sections 306 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Baroda, District Sonapat.

Contents that the petitioner is in custody since 04.11.2016. The marriage between the parties was solemnized in the year 2009. The complainant has already been examined.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that there are specific allegations against the petitioner. The trial is in progress and out of fourteen prosecution witnesses, four have already been examined.

Heard.

This is the third bail petition moved by the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 04.11.2016. The examination of the

complainant is a fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. As on now, ten prosecution remain to be examined which indicates that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

16.05.2018
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No