

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.19328 of 2018

Date of Decision: 20.08.2018

Surjit Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. K.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel submits that the recovery has not been effected from the petitioner so far.

Learned counsel for the complainant also submits that in spite of giving an undertaking, the wife of the petitioner is visiting the house of the complainant frequently.

Since the allegations are matter of evidence and that can be seen by the trial Court, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 09.05.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

20.08.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No