

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**Crl. Misc. No. M-19287 of 2018
Date of Decision: 28.8.2018**

Sanjeev Vaid and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Dishant Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Puneet Pali, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 94 dated 31.10.2017 registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC, Police Station Women Cell, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Report has been received from the trial Court after statements of the parties were recorded regarding the compromise. The trial Court has reported that the complainant has compromised the matter with the accused out of her free will and without any inducement or pressure. The trial Court has also sent the statements of the parties in original.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent No. 2 is the only aggrieved

person in this FIR.

No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the statements and report of the trial Court and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to say that parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements made in the Court below.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

August 28, 2018
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No