

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1924-2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.01.2018

Gurdev Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Sandhu, A.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J (Oral)

Petitioner seeks the benefit of regular bail in case F.I.R. No.104 dated 25.8.2017 under sections 436, 143, 120-B, 109, 148, 149 I.P.C, sections 3, 4 of Damage of Public Property Act, 1984 read with sections 3, 4 of Explosive Act No.06 of 1908, registered at Police Station, Phul, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Sukhwinder Singh son of Darshan Singh, who stated himself to be working as a Security Guard, Sewa Kendar at village Bhai Rupa, district Bathinda. It was alleged that on 25.8.2017 a number of people had gathered being agitated on account of the conviction of Sant Gurmeet Ram Rahim and had caused damage to the articles lying inside the Sewa Kendar by putting the same on fire.

Name of the present petitioner does not even figure in the FIR. He is sought to be implicated on the disclosure statement suffered by a co-accused.

Petitioner's arrest in the present case is shown to be on 13.10.2017.

Investigation in the case is complete and the challan has been presented. Trial is at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

It has gone uncontroverted that co-accused Jora Singh, Gurjit Singh, Jinder Mander @ Baljinder Singh, Sukhvir Singh @ Vicky, Sunny @ Sandeep Singh and Baljit Singh have been granted benefit of bail by this Court.

Without making any observations on merits, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Petition disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

29.01.2018

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No