

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1922-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.01.2018

Ajit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. G.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by HC Balour Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.162 dated 22.06.2016, registered under Sections 186, 323, 332 read with Section 34, 353 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 21, 21-B, 21-C and 22 of the NDPS Act, at P.S. Sadar Dabwali.

Contends that the petitioner was earlier admitted to interim bail, awaiting report of the FSL and he surrendered back on receipt thereof. He remained on bail for more than eight months but did not misuse the concession so granted. Co-accused Amarjit Singh has already been admitted to bail by this Court. The petitioner was not arrested from the spot. He is not involved in any other FIR and is behind the bars since 30.08.2017.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the quantity of contraband recovered from the petitioner falls under the category of 'commercial quantity'.

Heard.

This is the third bail petition moved by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to cite any fresh favourable circumstance. The quantity recovered falls under the category of 'commercial quantity'. Therefore, this Court does not find any ground to accept the prayer.

Dismissed.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.01.2018

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes No

Whether Reportable : Yes No