

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-19210 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: May 07, 2018

Meenu Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Manohar Lall, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.49 dated 03.06.2017, under Sections 406, 498-A of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, Sector 17, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would contend that at the moment, there is no FIR that has been registered against the petitioner herein. In this regard, it is submitted that the aforesaid FIR dated 03.06.2017 is only qua Sanchit Gupta s/o Satish Kumar Gupta, while praying that she is ready to join the investigation.

At this stage, appearance has also been caused on behalf of complainant by Ms. Alka Sarin, Advocate. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complaint, in fact has been filed against four persons namely Sanchit Gupta s/o Satish Kumar Gupta, Ankit Gupta s/o

Satish Kumar Gupta, Satish Kumar Gupta s/o S.L. Gupta and Meenu Gupta w/o Satish Kumar Gupta, while also submitting that the role of the other persons named in the complaint is yet to be looked into during the investigation. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant also submits that the petitioner herein along with others are deliberately evading their appearance and PO proceedings have been initiated against them.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties, apart from perusing the record.

In view of the submissions made hereinabove and on perusing the complaint filed and the orders dated 08.12.2017 passed in CRM-M-31528 of 2017 and dated 04.05.2018 in CRM-M-18190 of 2018 wherein, the anticipatory bail petitions of Sanchit Gupta and Satish Kumar Gupta have been dismissed respectively, this court is not inclined to grant the relief of anticipatory bail to petitioner. However, in case, petitioner is arrested and moves the appropriate application for regular bail, the same be decided on the same day.

The petition in hand is accordingly dismissed with the aforesaid directions.

A copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

May 04, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No