

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.19122 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 30.07.2018

Harish Chander and others

....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Arya Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Kumar Spehia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have prayed for quashing of FIR No.195 dated 02.09.2017 for offence punishable under Sections 332, 353, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Sadar, Amritsar (Punjab) and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 10.04.2018 (Annexure P-2).

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Satpal Singh son of Ajit Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 07.05.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise entered between the parties is

without any pressure, genuine, voluntarily, undue influence or coercion and out of free will.

Learned counsel for the State as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioners, respondent No.2 (complainant) have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.195 dated 02.09.2017 for offence punishable under Sections 332, 353, 506, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar, Amritsar (Punjab) stands quashed qua the petitioners.

July 30, 2018
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no