

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

142

CRM-M No.19094 of 2018

Date of Decision: May 7th, 2018

Gurdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is being harassed at the behest of respondents 4 to 6 with whom the petitioner earlier had family relations and had borrowed some amount, which has been duly returned by the petitioner and affidavits were duly executed and handed over to the petitioner by respondent No.5 indicating the receipt of the total amount which was given to the petitioner. It is after a lapse of about two years that a complaint has been given to the S.H.O., Police Station Dina Nagar, District Gurdaspur, relating to the said handing over of the money, in which the petitioner is being harassed by respondent No.3. He is made to sit in the Police Station and is being forced to compromise the matter.

Counsel contends that the petitioner is not averse to join the inquiry proceedings, however, the petitioner is simply being harassed at the behest of respondents 4 to 6. Counsel, therefore, prays that direction may be issued to respondent No.3 to issue a proper notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. in case the petitioner is required for inquiry purposes.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with direction to

S.H.O., Police Station Dina Nagar, District Gurdaspur-respondent No.3,
that in case the petitioner is required for inquiry or for any other purpose
relating to a complaint which may have been submitted against the
petitioner, proper notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. be served on him.

May 7th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No