

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CRM-M-19077-2018

Date of Decision: May 04, 2018

Namrata

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect her life and liberty.

As per the allegations in the petition, the parents of the petitioner want to marry her off, but she is not interested in getting married presently. Therefore, she has left her house and is residing in the house of a family friend. Her father is continuously intimidating her and thus, she fears for her life.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Pardeep Sharma, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondent No.4.

The petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.3 to consider this petition as a representation and to take necessary steps to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in case the allegations made therein are found to be true.

May 04, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**