

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

104

CRM-M-19071 of 2018

Date of decision:7.5.2018

Puneet @ Puneet Mahajan

... Petitioner

versus

M/s A.B.M. Trading Company

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The bail bonds of the petitioner, i.e. the accused in the complaint filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, were cancelled on him not having appeared twice before the trial Court, despite notices served upon him.

Though I see absolutely no infirmity in the order of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, dismissing his petition filed there under Section 438 Cr.P.C., however, since the trial has to progress and the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is willing to pay costs for non-appearance, this petition is allowed with the petitioner ordered to be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court, upon him paying a demand draft/cash of Rs.15,000/- to the complainant, in Court, on the date that he surrenders before that Court.

7.5.2018

pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No