

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.18955 of 2018
Date of Decision: 04.05.2018**

Sonu and another

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Vineet Jakhar, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

At the very outset learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner No.1 has been forcibly taken away by her family members when she along with petitioner No.2 was on her way to the High Court.

Petitioner No.2 feels reasonable apprehension qua his person at the hands of respondent No.4 and his other family members who have taken away petitioner No.1.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Ms. Neelam Kashyap, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to her by learned counsel

for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondents No.1 to 3 as no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed.

Petitioner No.2 would be at liberty to approach respondent No.2 to espouse his grievance in the context of threat perception. In the event of doing so and pressing his representation dated 02.05.2018 (Annexure P4), necessary order would be passed by respondent No.2 in accordance with law.

Incharge Police Post, High Court is directed to drop petitioner No.2 at a point from where he can go to his place safely.

A copy of this order be given to learned State Counsel under the signatures of Bench Secretary as per rules.

May 04, 2018
Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No