

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18953-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-11.05.2018.

Sanjeev Kumar Chauhan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG, Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

CRM-17459-2018

CM for making correction in the head note as well as prayer clause is allowed subject to all just exceptions for the reasons stated in the application as well as affidavit.

CRM-M-18953-2018

Learned State counsel submitted that decision relating to Rajesh Sharma is yet to be implemented in view of para 19 of the judgment. In other words, State has not yet taken a decision relating to constituting Family Welfare Committee through the District Legal Services Authorities. It was further submitted that during pendency of the present petition, parties have entered into compromise on 05.05.2018. Petitioner-Sanjeev Kumar

Chauhan is present in the Court. He had given instructions to his counsel

stating that compromise dated 05.05.2018 is under duress since the 5th respondent is stated to be related to a Police Officer. On this issue, petitioner is at liberty to take legal action. Petitioner's grievance is only to the extent he is entitled to direction in terms of Section 41-A of the Cr.P.C. Concerned respondents are hereby directed to comply.

With the above observations, petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

May 11, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.