

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.18919 of 2018
Date of Decision: 04.05.2018**

VAISHNAVI AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Petitioners in-person along with
Ms. Harman Preet Kaur, Advocate

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Mr. S.S. Momi, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.4 and on his request, father of petitioner No.1 was allowed to interact with petitioner No.1 in the Court itself.

As per pleadings in the petition, petitioner No.2 has not attained the age of 21 years and petitioners have contracted marriage on 01.05.2018 according to their own sweet will, but against the wishes of their family members.

This Court cannot comment upon validity of marriage in view of age of petitioner no.2.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents to protect their lives and

personal liberties from being invaded by the private respondents.

In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents, petitioners have prayed for necessary directions for safeguarding their personal civil and legal rights.

In the aforesaid context, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 27.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) to the Incharge/SHO, Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this juncture, this Court is not in a position to comment upon the validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners in terms of their respective ages, however, respondent No.3 can be asked to have a fair look on the grievance of the petitioners in order to ascertain veracity of allegations made by the petitioners.

Respondent No.3 would be at liberty to devise his/her own mechanism to ascertain the truth. He/she would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with facts in issue. In case respondent No.3 finds that there is some truth in the allegations of the petitioners, then he/she shall

proceed to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The aforesaid order is being passed at this stage without meaning anything on the merits of the case. Respondent No.3 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any observation made by the Court in terms of pleadings at this stage. This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the party in accordance with law in case they are involved in some other case. It would be appreciated if the representation filed by the petitioners is decided within a period of one month from today keeping in view the threat perception shown by the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

May 04, 2018

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No