

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18835-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.09.2018

Manish Nagpal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

Respondent No.2-Ms. Richa Gupta in person.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the order to show that the petitioner had been allowed regular bail before the Court below. He states that there was no interim order in his favour.

Respondent No.2-complainant has also shown the copy of the application which was filed before the Court below. She states that the petitioner never came for mediation.

Counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the order to show that the petitioner was present before the Court below on 08.08.2018 and states that the petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

However, a sum of Rs.20,000/- deposited by the petitioner as litigation expenses be given to the complainant.

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

24.09.2018

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No