

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-18691-2018

Date of decision:03.05.2018

Mandeep Grover

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In respect of the grievance of the petitioner against her husband (respondent no.4) and his mother (respondent no.5), she is stated to have made two representations to respondent no.2, i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, copies of which have been annexed as annexures P-1 and P-2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though initially after the complaint had been filed by the petitioner, an assurance was given by respondents no.4 and 5 to the petitioner that they would settle the matter with her, (she also stated to be looking after her child), they did not do so, but with the police thereafter not having proceeded any further.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2, i.e. the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to hear the petitioner and to also call respondents no.4 and 5, and at the first instance to try and amicably settle the matter, and if not then to take action as per law.

It is made clear that though the aforesaid direction has been given by this Court, the actual truth or otherwise of the contentions made are not being commented upon at all by this Court, which would be gone into by the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, after hearing both sides.

Disposed of.

May 03, 2018

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

dharamvir

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No