

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 1859 of 1995

Date of decision:- 01.03.2018

Raj Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Mahabir alias Nahru and ors.

...Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI***

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate  
for the appellants

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate  
for respondent No. 3

**RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)**

The present appeal has been preferred by the claimant-appellant (for short 'the appellants'), against award dated 14.02.1995 passed by the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Sonapat (for short, 'the Tribunal').

A bare perusal of the impugned award shows that the claim petition of the claimant for awarding compensation amount on account of damage of vehicle in a road accident, was allowed and he was awarded compensation of Rs.2000/-. This compensation was rightly awarded by the Tribunal, as the vehicle of the appellant was found to be 20 years old at the time of accident. Further the appellant was the second owner of the car, as he had purchased the same vide sale letter dated 17.07.1993 for an amount of Rs.70,000/-. Rajbir who was travelling in the said car has said nothing about the damage suffered by the car.

No other point has been argued by learned counsel for the appellant.

In view of the above factual position, the award dated 14.02.1995 passed by the Tribunal does not require any interference by this Court

Dismissed.

March 01, 2018  
G Arora

( ***RITU BAHRI*** )  
***JUDGE***

***Whether speaking/reasoned***  
***Whether reportable***

***Yes***  
***No***