

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1860 of 2018
Date of Decision: 23.01.2018**

SHAMI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.07 dated 10.01.2016 registered under Sections 341, 379-B, 427, 364-A, 323, 506, 34, 201 IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act at Police Station Division No.7, Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the FIR was registered against the petitioner and co-accused namely Ramanpreet Singh @ Kaku and Ashok Kumar @ Happy. Co-accused have already been granted bail by the trial Court. Petitioner was also granted bail, but he absented from the Court

and was ultimately declared to be a proclaimed offender on 01.09.2016. Thereafter the petitioner was arrested on 31.08.2017 and since then he is in custody.

The aforesaid statement of fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kamaljeet Singh. Learned counsel further submitted that challan has already been presented and charges are yet to be framed.

At this stage, without adverting to the merits of the case and keeping in view the complicity of the petitioner viz.-a-viz. co-accused, who have been granted bail by the trial Court, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail.

In view of above, petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail, subject to his furnishing heavy bail bonds and sound surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

January 23, 2018

Atik

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No