

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-18533 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:25.09.2018

Lali Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. JS Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of order dated 8.5.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from HC Amarjit.

However, learned counsel for respondent-State has opposed grant of bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is convict in two more cases of NDPS Act, two cases i.e. one under NDPS Act and the other under Excise Act are still pending against him.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in two cases of NDPS Act, the petitioner has already undergone the sentence and in other two cases, he is on bail.

Keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, interim order dated 8.5.2018 is made absolute.

In case the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case of NDPS Act, State is at liberty move an application for cancellation of bail.

September 25, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No