

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-18475 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 17, 2018

Ravinder @ Ravi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.

The instant second petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.178 dated 10.05.2016 registered under Sections 302, 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonipat, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein contends that the petitioner was taken into possession in the aforesaid FIR on 23.05.2016. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with deceased Rajesh in the year 2000 and out of this wedlock, two children were born. It is argued on the date of alleged occurrence on 09.05.2016, when the deceased put petrol on herself and committed suicide, the petitioner had gone to purchase buffalo from Wazir

Singh, resident of of Village Pipli and he also moved an application in this regard to SHO Kharkhoda. It is also contended that on the date of alleged occurrence on 09.05.2016, the complainant refused to lodge the complaint against the petitioner, but on the next date on 10.05.2016 moved an application for taking action against the petitioner. It is argued that at the time of alleged occurrence, no body was present at home and as such, after investigation, the challan was presented under Sections 306 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code, but subsequently on the application of prosecution, charges were framed under Sections 302 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code. It is also contended that the conclusion of trial will take sufficient time and there is no one to look after the minor children, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the pleadings of the case.

A perusal of the FIR reveals that specific allegations have been levelled against the petitioner. The complainant has levelled allegations of maltreatment and subjecting his daughter to extreme cruelty, on account of demand of money, while alleging that his daughter has been killed by the petitioner by burning. Under these circumstances and keeping in view the gravity of the offence, this court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

The petition in hand stands dismissed.

Anything observed or said by this court hereinabove is only for the purpose of deciding the instant bail petition and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

October 17, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No