

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-18456-2018
Date of decision: 05.10.2018**

Sakshi**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Raminder Pratap Kaur, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0061 dated 08.04.2018, registered under Section 306 IPC at Police Station Phase-I, District S.A.S. Nagar.

The operative part of the order dated 07.05.2018, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a girl aged about 23 years and as per the allegation in the FIR, got registered by Paramjit Singh his son namely Jaspal Singh was staying in Mohali with the petitioner in a live in relationship and on account of an altercation between the petitioner and said Jaspal Singh, he has committed suicide on 08.04.2018. It is further submitted that neither any suicide note has been recovered nor there is any direct allegation of abetment that the petitioner has acted in a manner which abetted Jaspal Singh to commit suicide. Counsel for the State, on

instructions from ASI Pritpal Singh, has not disputed the factual position about the contents of the FIR and no suicide note has been recovered. Counsel for the complainant has relied upon the Whatsapp conversation with the deceased Jaspal Singh with his sister, where it is stated that he was disturbed with the behaviour of the petitioner, however, this conversation is dated 03.04.2018 and the incident occurred on 07.04.2018 and in between again there is no evidence that the petitioner has abetted Jaspal Singh to commit suicide.

List again on 05.10.2018. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 07.05.2018, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from SI Mohan Lal, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 07.05.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

October 05, 2018

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No