

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18400 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05-07-2018

Rinku and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Thakur, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0415 dated 18.05.2017 registered under Sections 498-A, 354-D, 323, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 66(D), 66(E) of Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Chandanibagh, District Panipat (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-on the allegations of harassment, beating and torture received by the complainant-respondent No.2 at the hands of the accused-petitioners at her matrimonial house as also the accused-petitioner No.1 had outraged her

modesty by sending obscene and vulgar messages to the complainant and further uploaded obscene photographs and messages on Instagram and Facebook from the phone of complainant-respondent No.2. The complainant has also levelled allegations of threats at the hands of petitioners-accused herein. Now with the intervention of respectable persons of the area and common friends, the matter has been amicably and mutually compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat forwarded by the District and Sessions Judge, Panipat, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a

loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0415 dated 18.05.2017 registered under Sections 498-A, 354-D, 323, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code and Sections 66(D), 66(E) of Information Technology Act, 2000 at Police Station Chandanibagh, District Panipat and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed.

The petition stands disposed of.

July 05, 2018

seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No