

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2017.10.30 16:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-18378-2018

Date of Decision: 29.10.2018

Hari Chand

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Nagra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vishal Satija, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to conduct fair and impartial enquiry in the representation dated 12.09.2017 submitted by the petitioner.

As per the averments made in the petition, the petitioner was married to respondent No.5 on 27.12.2009 and since then, they were residing together as husband and wife and two children were born out of this wedlock. It is further stated that due to some matrimonial dispute, respondent No.5 has left the company of the petitioner and has performed run away marriage with respondent No.4 and in this regard, the petitioner has submitted representation dated 12.09.2017 (Annexure P6) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Mansa.

In pursuance to the notice of motion order dated 18.07.2018, respondent No. 5 is present in the Court and she was sent to Meditation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for counseling. The Mediator has recorded her statement that she has performed marriage with petitioner-Hari Chand on 27.12.2009, thereafter, respondent No.4-Gurpiar Singh has forcibly performed marriage with her and now she is ready to live with petitioner-Hari Chand.

In view of the same, no further action at the end of the police is required as it is a dispute between husband and wife and it is open for the petitioner to file a complaint before the competent Court of law, if so advised.

Disposed of.

29.10.2018
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No