

CRM-M-18320-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18320-2018

Date of Decision: 06.07.2018

Narender @ Niru

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender S. Chahal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Narender @ Niru has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.261 dated 27.07.2017, registered at Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

Complainant has also appeared through his counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-18320-2018

From the record, I find that as per the FIR, the present petitioner procured clone Automated Teller Machine card and withdrew ₹40,000/- from the account of complainant. However, ₹20,000/- has been recovered from the present petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that compromise has taken place and he has no objection, if the petitioner is released on bail.

Challan has already been presented. The petitioner has been in custody since 18.12.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

06.07.2018
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No