

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.18313 of 2016 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 14.03.2018**

Balwinder and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** None for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhay Pal Singh Gill, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sukhpreet Kaur, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 8.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners. The situation was not different on the last two dates of hearing.

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of respondents No.3 and 4 with whom the petitioner is having a civil litigation.

In pursuance to the notice of motion order, reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and a separate reply by way of an affidavit of Inspector Jasvir Singh, Anti Human Trafficking Wing, Kapurthala on behalf of respondent No.3 has been filed and in this reply, it is stated that respondents No.5 to 8 have given a representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala which was marked to the deponent i.e. Inspector Jasvir Singh and in the enquiry, it was found that the petitioners, who are

relatives of Sukchain Chand, have taken the money from the private respondents for sending them abroad.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Gurvinder Singh, has also stated that on representation of respondent Nos.7 and 8 namely Lakhwinder Singh and Gurdev Singh, the FIR Nos.23, 24 and 25 dated 12.02.2017 under Sections 420 and 406 IPC at Police Station Kotali, District Kapurthala have been registered against Sukhchain Chand, husband of petitioner No.3 – Sita Rani. Counsel for the State has further submitted that there are five another FIRs registered at different police stations against Sukchain Chand, husband of petitioner No.3.

Counsel appearing for respondents No.4 to 8 has also submitted on the same line and has argued that on the complaints given by respondents No.4 to 8, FIRs have already been registered against Sukchain Chand, husband of petitioner No.3 and the police is taking action, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**14.03.2018**  
*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No