

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18242 of 2018.

Decided on:-July 25, 2018.

Anju Rani and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. Vishavdeep Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners states that there are certain factual errors in paragraph No.6 of the petition. In fact, the petitioner No.1 is Christian by caste and does not belong to Jatt community, as stated. Similarly, petitioner No.2 belongs to Jatt community and is not Christian by caste.

State counsel has filed short affidavit of Pritpal Singh, PPS, DSP, Circle Patran, District Patiala along with statement of respondent No.4 Balwinder Kaur, wherein she has made a statement that though marriage has been performed without her consent, but now she has no objection of any kind. However, she says that petitioner No.1 should not come to her house and she may live wherever she wants to live. The affidavit is also accompanied with the statements of Surinder Singh, Nambardar of village Kartarpur, Taljinder Singh alias Kalu son of Puran Singh, resident of village Shutrana,

Faquiria Ram son of Makkar Ram, caste Parjapat, resident of village Shutrana as well as the statement of petitioner No.1 Anju Rani, which are taken on record.

Petitioner Anju Rani in her statement has mentioned that she does not have any kind of threat from any person and she does not require any police protection.

In view of the affidavit which is attached with the statement of respondent No.4 as well as petitioner No.1, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

July 25, 2018
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No