

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CRM-M No.18211 of 2018
Date of Decision: May 1st, 2018

Narinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Ms. Tanvi Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner had initiated a case under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act against respondent No.5-Darshan Singh. With an intent to harass the petitioner and to put pressure on him to withdraw the said complaint, earlier false and frivolous complaints were filed with respondents 3 and 4, which ultimately concluded in favour of the petitioner. Fresh complaint has been filed by respondents 5 and 6 against the petitioner with respondent No.3-S.H.O., Police Station Dharamgarh, Sangrur, in which the petitioner is being time and again and at odd hours, summoned by respondent No.3 with an intention to put pressure on the petitioner to withdraw the proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. Counsel for the petitioner further asserts that the petitioner is being harassed and is likely to be implicated falsely in the case with the intervention of respondent No.3 primarily.

Having considered the submissions made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case and commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3- S.H.O., Police Station Dharamgarh, Sangrur, to give a

proper notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. in case the petitioner is required for any inquiry in a complaint which has been submitted against him.

May 1st, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No