

Sr.No.206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-1821 of 2018(O&M)
Date of decision:27.02.2018**

Sombir

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajbir Sehrawat

Present: Mr. V.P.Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

CRM-1541-2018

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-1821-2018

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his name has been dragged into the present case only on the basis of suspicion based on some alleged incident claimed to have happened about two years back. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner is not connected with the crime at all. It is further submitted that challan has been presented and even three PWs have been examined before the Court. It is further submitted that during the investigation no material connecting the petitioner to the alleged offence has been found by the prosecution except the disclosure statement and alleged identification of the place of occurrence. Hence he deserves concession of bail.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel on instructions from Vinod Kumar, ASI, submits that during the investigation it was found that the petitioner had talked to co-accused Rohtash and called him to the fields

where the petitioner disclosed to the co-accused regarding having pushed the deceased into the tank of the water works. Learned counsel again relies upon the alleged disclosure statement and identification of the place of occurrence by the accused.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

27th February, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether Reportable *No*