

**CRM-M-18100-2016 &
CRM-M-17074-2016
Arun Sharma Vs. State of Punjab and ors.**

Present: Mr.Ankit, Advocate for
Mr.Pradeep Virk, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-18100-2016)

Mr.Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate,
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-17074-2016)

Mr.Ankit, Advocate for
Mr.Pradeep Virk, Advocate,
for respondent no.2 (in CRM-M-17074-2016)

Mr.Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate,
for respondents no.6 to 9(in CRM-M-18100-2016)

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, A.A.G., Punjab assisted by
SI Manpreet Kaur, Morinda.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that a cancellation report already having been filed before the competent Court as regards the FIR in question, both these petitions in fact have been rendered infructuous.

As regards CRM-M-17074-2016, it has been filed by the petitioner/accused seeking quashing of the FIR, which petition in any case has become infructuous in view of the cancellation report.

Obviously if the competent Court does not accept the cancellation report and passes any other order, the petitioner in this petition would be always at liberty to challenge the said order as per law.

As regards CRM-M-18100-2016, in which the complainant has sought proper investigation in the FIR, again for the same reason that the cancellation report has already been filed before the competent Court, if the Court accepts that report, the petitioner herein would also be at liberty to challenge that order as per law.

Both the petitions are therefore disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

January 18, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**