

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-18066 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:11.07.2018

Sharukh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Mehndiratta, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

On 8.5.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR and no role has been attributed to him. The petitioner has been implicated in the case only on the basis of statement made by co-accused.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner has also joined the investigation, interim order dated 8.5.2018 is made absolute as the allegations are matter of evidence and same shall be considered by the trial Court.

Disposed of accordingly.

July 11, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No