

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-18042-2018
Date of decision : 11.05.2018

Anoop

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Lokesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner on the last date of hearing having made a submission that the petitioner was only a Clerk working in the transport company that owned the truck (goods carrier company), he had been asked to make good that contention by placing on record any documents to show that he was working only as such Clerk.

Today he submits that he has no such documents.

On the other hand, an affidavit has been filed in Court by learned State counsel, of Sh.Pardeep Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarter Charkhi Dadri, stating therein that actually the 'illegal liquor' belongs to the petitioner, which was to be delivered to one Naveen of Bihar.

Upon the aforesaid having been noticed by this Court, learned

petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 11, 2018.
Davinder Kumar/dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No