

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-18019 of 2018
Date of decision: 15.10.2018**

Dhiraj Sehrawat

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Rupinder S. Khosla, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Admittedly, the name of the petitioner is not mentioned in the FIR and even as per statements of driver and conductor, the owner of the vehicle is one Mukesh Kumar and as per record the owner of Eicher Canter is one Amarnath Yadav. The petitioner has been implicated in the case only on the basis of statement made by co-accused, who is on bail.

The allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court.

The petitioner has joined investigation and all efforts have been made by the Investigating Officer just to create evidence by sending messages through advocate or some security personnel but no mobile call has been received by the petitioner whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was a telephonic call with the Investigating Officer and false statement has been made.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation, interim order dated 07.05.2018 passed by this Court in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Disposed of accordingly.

**15.10.2018
neetu**

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No