

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.254

CRM-M-17942-2018

Date of Decision:26.10.2018

Vijay Kumar

....petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr.Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.J.P.Ratra, DAG Punjab

Mr.H.K.Brinda, Advocate
for respondent No.4

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.(ORAL) :

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 not to call the petitioner without complying with the provisions of Section 160 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, 'Cr.P.C.').

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sri Anandpur Sahib, District Rupnagar, dated 25.10.2018 has been filed in the Court and the same is taken on record.

In the reply, it is stated that there is no complaint pending with the deponent. It is further stated that on 28.09.2016, a complaint was moved by respondent No.4 to Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar, for taking action against the petitioner. During the inquiry of the said compliant, a compromise was effected between the parties on 01.03.2017 and petitioner agreed to pay the amount of Rs. 70,00,000/- to respondent

No.4. A copy of the compromise is annexed as Annexure R-1/T.

Learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the factum of compromise and the averments in the reply.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the limited prayer in this petition is that the petitioner should not be called in the police station without adhering to the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C., in view of the reply filed by the State, the petitioner is not required for any investigation. Therefore, this petition is rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

26.10.2018

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No