

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-1793-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 29.01.2018

Rustam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Jasneet Mehra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Rajbir Singh.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking bail in FIR No.105 dated 20.06.2015, registered under Sections 302 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, and Section 25 of the Arms Act, at P.S. Babain, District Kurukshetra.

Contents that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case. He is in custody since 21.06.2015. Charges have been framed and all the material witnesses stand already examined. The medical evidence is in contradiction with the ocular version.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the offence committed by the petitioner is a heinous offence.

Heard.

This is the third bail petition moved by the petitioner. Learned counsel has not been able to cite any fresh favourable circumstance. The trial is in progress. This Court is of the considered opinion that in case, the petitioner is admitted to bail, there is every likelihood that he may tamper

with the evidence and/or influence the witnesses. Therefore, no case for grant of bail is made out.

Dismissed.

However, anything noticed hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

29.01.2018
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No