

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-17741 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION:11.09.2018

Rajesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. RS Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been acquitted in all the cases. He further contends that earlier untraced report was filed in the year 2011 but the case was reopened subsequently on the application moved by the complainant, wherein, he named one Ram Mehar as an accused. The petitioner has been implicated on the basis of disclosure statement made by said Ram Mehar.

Learned counsel also contends that in compliance of order dated 1.5.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the same has been affirmed by learned State counsel.

In view of the above, interim order dated 1.5.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 11, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No