

CRM-M-16776-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-16776-2017

Date of Decision: 15.03.2018

Smt. Rosy

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Rajeev Kawatra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, D.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement made on the last date of hearing by learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Daljit Singh, to the effect that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and that she has been placed in column no. 2 in the report filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C., this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the State however, now on instructions from Inspector Suman Kumari, from the Crime Branch, submits that the matter has been referred to the Crime Branch and she is therefore seeking time, for the Crime Branch to investigate into the matter and decide as to whether there is any criminal case made out against the petitioner or not.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner again on specific instructions from the petitioner, submits that at this stage the petitioner has no grievance left, in view of the statement made on the last date of hearing by

Inspector Daljit Singh, and therefore, if she apprehends arrest again, she would file a fresh petition and that this petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such, with liberty naturally available with the Crime Branch to continue investigation.

March 15, 2018
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.