

263**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-17611-2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.10.2018****Yashmin Khanduja and another****...Petitioners****Versus****State of Haryana and another****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: - Mr. Ravi K. Mattoo, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravinder Kumar, respondent No. 2 in person.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 407 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for transfer of trial of the case arising out of FIR No. 0120 dated 24.04.2017 registered under Sections 66 (D), 66 (E) of the Information Technology Act read with Sections 34, 419, 420 of IPC at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala on the ground that no local lawyer is agreeing to appear on behalf of the petitioners against respondent No. 2/complainant for the reasons that he is a practicing lawyer there.

Respondent No. 2, who is appearing in person, contends that on 04.05.2018, the petitioners had sought exemption from their personal appearance in the Court through local counsel namely, Amit

Kumar, Advocate. Therefore, the plea of the petitioners that no advocate at Amabala is accepting their brief is patently false.

Considering over all facts and circumstances of the case and going through the contents of the petition, no justification is made out to transfer the case pending against the petitioners from Ambala to Patiala Courts.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with direction to the petitioners, if they so desire, to approach District Legal Services Authority, Ambala to provide them a counsel or legal aid who, in turn, would appoint a competent advocate to contest the case on their behalf.

12th October, 2018

shabha

**[RAMENDRA JAIN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No