

128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-17602-2018
Date of Decision: 27.04.2018**

Charanjeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Swarn Tiwana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

[2]. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

[3]. At this stage, Mr. Navjinder S. Sidhu, Advocate, puts in appearance and files his Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2/complainant.

[4]. In this petition, the petitioner, who is an accused in F.I.R No.115, dated 11.11.2015, under Sections 452, 186, 353, 332, 380 and 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Phase-VIII, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[5]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused as per Affidavit (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. It transpires that co-

accused persons Gurjant Singh and Sigu Saini had earlier approached this Court for quashing the proceedings on the basis of the compromise, they had arrived at with the complainant, which petition (CRM-M-34736-2017) was allowed by this Court on the 12th February, 2018. Now, the present petitioner has entered into a compromise with the same complainant in the same case. In this backdrop, it is not necessary to direct the parties to again approach the Trial Court for recording their statements since the factum of compromise is not disputed.

[6]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

[7]. As such in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[8]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.115, dated 11.11.2015, under Sections 452, 186, 353, 332, 380 and 34 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Phase-VIII, District SAS Nagar (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

27.04.2018*Bhumika***(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No