

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.17586 of 2018

Date of Decision: 20.08.2018

Sandeep Dhillon

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Nitesh Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation, whereas, learned State counsel submits that there is one more person but the petitioner is not telling his/her name. Learned counsel also submits that in the initial complaint, there was mention of only one name, which was of the petitioner. It has been stated to be mentioned in the subsequent statement of the complainant but the source from where the complainant has come to know the name of other person is not mentioned.

In view of the above, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner on 26.04.2018 is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

20.08.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No