

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH***

**CRM-M-17516 of 2018**

**Date of Decision:-13.07.2018**

Bhupinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, DAG Punjab.

**RAMENDRA JAIN J.(Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail on behalf of petitioner in case FIR No.62 dated 2.7.2017, under Sections 21/22 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Nandgarh, District Bathinda.

According to the prosecution, 400 tablets of Carisoma and 31 vials of Wincerex 100 ml each were recovered from the accused as well as his accomplice Sunil.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case and is behind bars since 02.7.2017. The conclusion of trial will take a long time. No useful

purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in jail. Relying upon the judgments passed in CRM-M-42099-2013 titled 'Pardeep Singh vs. State of Punjab, and CRM-M-779-2014 titled 'Lovepreet Singh vs. State of Punjab, decided on 26.5.2014 as well as CRM-M-5067-2018 titled 'Mukesh @ Sonu vs. State of Haryana', decided on 09.3.2018 passed by co-ordinate Benches of this Court, he prays for regular bail of the petitioner.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

Treating the case of the petitioner on same parity as that of the judgments referred above passed by co-ordinate Benches of this Court, but without commenting on merits, petitioner is admitted to bail, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

July 13, 2018  
Vijay Asija

( **RAMENDRA JAIN** )  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No