

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17509-2018

Date of Decision: 04.12.2018

Sunita Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners FIR No.290 dated 01.10.2017, under Section 346 and Sections 363, 366-A IPC and Sections 9, 10 and 11 of Prohibition of Child Marriage Act (added lateron), registered at Police Station Pinjore, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case and on the direction of this Court, have joined the investigation. It is also contended that nothing is to be recovered from them.

Per contra, learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, submits that petitioners have joined the investigation

and they are not required for the custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation and have joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 26.04.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioners is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

04.12.2018
Satyawan

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No