

348 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.511 of 1991 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.01.2018

Jalandhar Improvement Trust, Jalandhar ... Appellant

versus

Satish Chander Saini and ors. ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate
for the respondents.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J.(Oral)

Earlier order dated 05.08.1993 shows that none was present on behalf of the appellant. In the previous order, the counsel for the appellant was directed to implead the legal representatives of respondent No.3, who had expired and was also required to give fresh address of respondent No.7. However, neither the application for impleading the LRs has been moved nor efforts have been made to complete the service.

Otherwise also, the perusal of the file shows that this appeal has arisen from a suit filed by the respondents against the appellant seeking an injunction restraining the appellant from reducing the width of road adjoining the houses of the respondents from 100 feet to 60 feet. With the growth of population, even the Improvement Trust might be satisfied that even 100 feet width would be insufficient. Therefore, it appears that the Improvement Trust has lost interest in the case.

Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

23.01.2018
sonia

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No