

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-17450 of 2018

Date of Decision:- 11.07.2018

Mujahid

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.266 dated 4.12.2017 registered at Police Station Pinangwan under Sections 304, 201, 34 IPC and Sections 3/181 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988. FIR was initially registered under Section 302 IPC but no one was named therein. During the course of investigation, the petitioner was named as an accused but Section 304 IPC has been inserted by deleting Section 302 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 10.12.2017 and now the investigation is complete and the trial has started. Moreover, a co-accused has compromised the matter with the complainant and has been granted regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner was the driver of the vehicle which caused the death of Sakil and, thus, he is not entitled to be granted regular bail.

Keeping in view the fact that the trial has commenced and that the

matter has been compromised with a co-accused who has already been granted regular bail, I deem it appropriate to allow this petition as no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody indefinitely.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and it is directed that petitioner-Mujahid be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned.

July 11, 2018

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No