

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

102

CRM No.M-17440 of 2018

Date of decision:30.4.2018

Balwinder Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.A.S.Manaise, Advocate, for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is only one of introduction to his co-accused, Aarti, who is stated to be his cousin and has actually received the amount, with the complainant also being his cousin.

In view of the above seeing that the complainant is also a cousin, the chances of his having implicated the petitioner falsely would at least *prima facie* not be very high.

Consequently, finding no merit in this petition, it is dismissed.

However, nothing stated herein above is to be taken as a comment on the actual merits of the case for or against the petitioner, which would be gone into by the investigating agency/competent Court, as per evidence gathered/led.

30.4.2018

pk

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No