

CRM-M-17361-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-17361-2018

Date of Decision: 24.07.2018

Narender @ Niru

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Singh Chahal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Narender @ Niru has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.532 dated 07.10.2017, registered at Police Station Civil Line Rohtak, District Rohtak, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 474 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant petition.

Complainant has also appeared through his counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-17361-2018

At the time of arguments, learned counsel for petitioner argues that a quashing petition on the basis of compromise has been filed in which statements of parties have already been recorded by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the complainant also states that complainant has already compromised the matter with the present petitioner.

The petitioner has been in custody since 18.12.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping him in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail; without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and in view of the factum of compromise, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹40,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

24.07.2018

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No